



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,560	02/05/2004	Patricia Lewis	MOR3334P2090US	5508
32116 75	90 01/13/2006		EXAMINER	
WOOD, PHILLIPS, KATZ, CLARK & MORTIMER			CHIN SHUE, ALVIN C	
500 W. MADIS	ON STREET			
SUITE 3800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL	60661		3634	
			DATE MAILED: 01/13/2006	.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/772,560	LEWIS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_				
	Alvin C. Chin-Shue	3634					
The MAILING DATE of this communicated for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communing. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuted in the set or extended period for reply with any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ication. tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO il, by statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>21 November 2005</i> .						
)⊠ This action is non-final.						
/ -	'						
· — · · ·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 11</u> is/are pending in t	he application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 11</u> is/are rejected.	<u></u>						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	,						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	by the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action of form PTO-192.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
_ , , , ,	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in a the priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date	O-948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 					

Application/Control Number: 10/772,560

Art Unit: 3634

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Fong or Schweer. Fong shows arm loops 23, a drag grip 20 and a common juncture at 22. Schweer in fig. 7A shows the claimed harness. To make (cut) their arm loops and drag grips from a single length of strapping, would have been an obvious mechanical expedient.

Claims 1,2 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guynn. Guynn shows arm loops 3,B and 2,A, and a drag grip 10. To make (cut) their arm loops and drag grips from a single length of strapping, would have been an obvious mechanical expedient.

Claims 1,2 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schoenbrun. Guynn in fig.9 shows arm loops 76, a drag grip 72. To make (cut) their arm loops and drag grips from a single length of strapping, would have been an obvious mechanical expedient.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,560

Art Unit: 3634

Claim 2 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fong as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Crowe et al. Crowe et al shows a drag grip/leash comprising a fixed length loop. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Fong with a drag grip, as taught by Crowe, for dragging a user of his harness.

Claim 2 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schoenbrun, as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of either Martusciello or Campbell. Both Martusciello at 50 and Campbell at 14 show drag grips comprising a fixed length loop. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Schoenbrun to comprise with a drag grip, as taught by either Martusciello or Campbell, for maintaining a grip of a user of his harness.

Claims 1,2 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hengstenberger in view of Schweer. Hengstenberger shows the claimed harness with the exception of the pair of shoulder loops. Schweer in fig. 7A shows a pair of arm loops having a fixed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the harness of

Application/Control Number: 10/772,560

Art Unit: 3634

Hengstenberger to comprise a pair of fixed length arm loops, as taught by Schweer, to encircle both arms of a wearer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin C. Chin-Shue whose telephone number is 571-272-6828. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on 571-272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alvin C. Chin-Shue

Examiner

Art Unit 3634